



EDA and Biology of the nervous system

Lou Scheffer

Our Goal: Understanding the Brain

- Many approaches are possible; almost all are being tried
 - Study the behavior of the organism and deduce brain function
 - Perturb the genetics and see how the function differs
 - Look at activity in areas of the brain
 - Statistical methods – look at large numbers of examples
- Each has limitations in terms of detailed understanding of function

Alternative: take it apart to see how it works

- Idea is as old as engineering
 - Children are known for this approach
 - Patent system is a result of this method's success
 - Lots of historical examples



- Used in biology for more than 400 years
 - Starting with circulation of blood in the middle ages

But looking at brain structure is hard

- Two main problems
 - Structures are very small
 - Network is very complex
- Until recently, only possible for very small animals with easy to resolve structure
 - C. Elegans, 302 brain cells, ~2K synapses
 - Took two decades and 10s of person-years
- Needed technical developments to make this feasible



Electron Microscopes make it possible

Electron microscope

Optical microscope



... But possible does not mean easy




- Can do this manually now



There is another field with almost exactly the same problems

- Finding out exactly how a chip works from a physical example
- Needed because
 - Chip is out of production and need a replacement
 - Military intelligence
 - Competitive analysis
 - Legal enforcements of patents
- Similar technical problems of feature size and complexity

Equivalent techniques in both fields




Step 3: Small sub 10μm hole FIB milled through silicon down to signal node of interest.




Equivalent structures in both

- Clock tree on chip (IBM)
- Auditory circuits of barn owl.



Carr and Konishi • Circuits in the Auditory Brain Stem



Look at two problems analogous to EDA

- Simulation of network operations
 - Detailed simulation is 'gold standard'
 - But most work happens at higher levels
 - ▶ Logic simulation
 - ▶ Macromodels
 - ▶ Timing analysis
 - Need similar ideas for biology
- Reconstruction of networks from library of parts
 - Both for correctness checking and understanding function

Detailed simulation is the gold standard in both fields, but not what you want for many problems

- SPICE and similar in EDA
- In biology, divide neurons into compartments, simulate at the detailed diff-eq and non-linear local operator level.
- But for looking at ‘big picture’, this is not what you want
 - Reduced models of many kinds (eg. delay)
 - Explicit coding of important variables (eg. Phase in oscillators)

A critical biology property is that networks change with time

- Neuromodulators affect a number of neurons at the same time
 - Best analogy, changing back-gate within a tub
 - But can be several at the same time, effect diffuses away as a function of distance, etc.
 - Seems a straightforward extension
- Neural networks form/remove connections as they operate.
 - “Nearly” nodes with “correlated” signals add/remove “connections”.

EDA extended to circuits that adapt/learn




- Seems a natural extension of SPICE type technology
- Could be a huge breakthrough when figured out
- Natural area of cooperation between biology and EDA
- EDA is the best 'base' set of ideas for understanding this.

Other possible EDA/CS techniques to extend

- Make automatic inferences more accurate by replacing hard decisions by probabilistic techniques
- Incorporate biological prior information in reconstruction
- Improve productivity using experience with similar graphical systems
- Attack up front the problems of a globally distributed, multi-group effort
- Plus many more speculative lines of attack

Use constraint that design uses known parts


- Chips are built from about 100 basic patterns
 - Three are shown below
- If you find something that is not one it's an error (usually) or a novel structure



Use similar constraints from biology


- Genetics plus staining and optical techniques give us the library
 - Example – cells that go from the lamina to the medulla

INPUT TERMINALS TO THE FLY'S MEDULLA



Optical/genetic techniques give us the catalog

- Work of A. Nern at HHMI



- Cannot show connections, but can show each type of component.
- Like a computer, millions of parts but only hundreds of types

Conclusions

- EDA is our best 'base' technology for understanding biological networks
 - Changes to circuit simulation to understand biological functions
 - Circuits that 'learn', or even 'adapt', would be a huge breakthrough. (but high risk, might be premature)
- EDA and other CS fields are most natural base for reverse engineering the brain
 - Much less speculative research, though hard
 - Understanding needed for the breakthrough above